

## CONTENTS

<i>List of abbreviations and conventions</i>	11
<i>List of tables</i>	14
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	17
<b>Introduction</b>	19

### Part I

#### GRAMMATICAL AND LEXICAL EVIDENTIALITY

<i>Chapter 1</i>	
<b>Evidentiality as a distinct grammatical category in natural languages</b>	33
1.1 Evidentials defined	33
1.2 Different evidentiality systems in the world.	42
1.2.1 Is evidentiality a widespread category?	42
1.2.2 How many options do evidentiality systems offer?	44
1.3 Classifications of evidentiality systems	47
1.4 Evidentials in a sentence, their placement and relations with the grammatical categories of tense and person	70
1.4.1 Different markers of evidentiality and types of clauses	70
1.4.2 Evidentials and the categories of tense and person	73
1.5 Frequency of evidential marking and evidential hierarchies: which evidentials are preferable?	82
1.6 The semantics of the most common evidential types and their possible epistemic implications	90
1.6.1 Direct evidence	91
1.6.2 Indirect evidence	95
1.6.3 Inferred evidence	96
1.6.4 Reported evidence	99

*Contents*

*Chapter 2*

<b>Evidentiality and semantically related categories: epistemic modality, egophoricity, and mirativity</b>	<b>105</b>
2.1 Evidentiality and other ‘epistemological’ categories: problems with defining a separate category on a cross-linguistic level	105
2.2 Evidentiality and epistemic modality: to what extent are they different?	109
2.2.1 Epistemic modality: general remarks	109
2.2.2 Evidentiality and epistemic modality: towards a broader definition of evidentials	112
2.2.3 The case of English <i>must</i>	131
2.3 Egophoricity and evidentiality	136
2.3.1 Egophoricity: an overview of the category	136
2.3.2 The interactions between egophoricity and evidentials: is conjunct/disjunct marking part of evidentiality?	145
2.4 Mirativity and evidentiality	150

*Chapter 3*

<b>Evidential strategies and grammatical evidentials: a continuum or a divide? A survey of information source markers in Polish</b>	<b>169</b>
3.1 Evidential strategies or just evidentials?	169
3.2 Information source markers in Polish: preliminary assumptions	178
3.3 Direct evidence: the source of information expressed by perception verbs	183
3.3.1 Perception verbs in Polish: general remarks	183
3.3.2 Subject-oriented verbs of perception: cognitive and agentive verbs	189
3.3.3 The evidential meaning of impersonal subjectless forms of perception verbs	196
3.3.4 Object-oriented descriptive verbs of perception	206
3.4 Inferred evidence markers	210
3.4.1 Inference marked by epistemic verbs and related expressions	215

## Contents

3.4.1.1	<i>Myszę, że p</i> ('I think that <i>p</i> '), <i>sądzę, że p</i> ('I believe that <i>p</i> '), <i>uważam, że p</i> ('I am of the opinion that <i>p</i> ')	219
3.4.1.2	<i>Jestem pewna, że p</i> ('I am certain that <i>p</i> '), <i>jestem przekonana, że p</i> ('I am convinced that <i>p</i> ')	227
3.4.1.3	<i>Wydaje/zdaje mi się, że p</i> ('it appears/seems to me that <i>p</i> '), <i>odnoszę/mam wrażenie, że p</i> ('I am under the impression / I have an impression that <i>p</i> ')	230
3.4.2	Inference marked by epistemic particles	234
3.4.3	Inference marked by the modal verb <i>musieć</i> and predicative <i>powinien</i>	242
3.5	Reported evidence markers	244
3.5.1	Verbs of report	248
3.5.2	Hearsay particles	253
3.5.3	Adpositions <i>zdaniem, według</i>	258
3.5.4	Predicative <i>słychać, że</i>	260
3.5.5	Modal auxiliary <i>mieć</i> + infinitive	261
<b>Conclusions to Part I</b>		265

## Part II

### EPISTEMIC JUSTIFICATION OF BELIEFS

#### Chapter 4

<b>Knowledge, belief, theories of epistemic justification and linguistic evidentials</b>		277
4.1	Is 'natural epistemology' relevant to epistemology?	277
4.2	The notions of knowledge, belief, and justified belief	285
4.2.1	Knowledge as a normative concept	285
4.2.2	What is belief?	287
4.2.3	Epistemic justification of beliefs	297
4.3	Internalist justification: foundationalism and coherentism	300
4.3.1	Foundationalism and its critique	300
4.3.2	The coherentist theory of justification	314

4.4	Meta-beliefs from the perspective of linguistic evidentials	320
4.5	In search of externalist justification. Reliabilism and linguistic evidentials	329
4.5.1	Internalism and externalism: an overview	329
4.5.2	Externalist justification: process reliabilism	331
4.5.3	The reliabilist theory of justification, ‘epistemic folkways’ and linguistic evidentiality	338
4.6	Virtue epistemology and linguistic evidentiality	344
4.6.1	Virtue epistemology defined	344
4.6.2	Epistemic virtues, reflective knowledge, evidentials and evidentiality-related categories	350
	<i>Chapter 5</i>	
	<b>Epistemology of testimony, evidentiality and evidentiality-related categories: towards a reductionist view</b>	359
5.1	Epistemology of testimony: general remarks	359
5.2	Testimony defined	362
5.3	Testimonial beliefs and knowledge in the tradition of epistemological individualism	368
5.4	Thomas Reid and the anti-reductionist approach to testimonial beliefs	378
5.5	Back to reductionism. Linguistic evidentials and justification of testimonial beliefs	396
	<b>Conclusions to Part II</b>	409
	<i>Appendix</i>	
	<b>Evidentiality in Quechua: an example of a three-option system</b>	423
	<b>References</b>	439
	<b>Index of authors</b>	471